IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAURO V. PEREZ,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-07-0544 LKK DAD P

VS.

D.K. SISTO, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee.

Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to petitioner's application.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The court's May 9, 2007 findings and recommendations are hereby vacated;
- 2. Respondents are directed to file a response to petitioner's application within thirty days from the date of this order. <u>See</u> Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination of the issues presented in the application. See Rule 5, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases;

Case 2:07-cv-00544-RSL-JLW Document 6 Filed 06/08/07 Page 2 of 2

1	3. Petitioner's reply, if any, shall be filed and served within thirty days of service
2	of an answer;
3	4. If the response to petitioner's application is a motion, petitioner's opposition or
4	statement of non-opposition shall be filed and served within thirty days of service of the motion,
5	and respondents' reply, if any, shall be filed within fifteen days thereafter; and
6	5. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order together with a copy of
7	petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on Michael
8	Patrick Farrell, Senior Assistant Attorney General.
9	DATED: June 8, 2007.
10	a
11	Dale A. Dryd DALE A DROZD
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	DAD:mp pere0544.100fee
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	